

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MIGUEL RODRIGUEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No. 2:23-cv-01447-ART-BNW

**ORDER TRANSFERRING CASES TO  
SINGLE DISTRICT JUDGE AND  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

V

Plaintiff

## CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,

## Defendant

PAUL GARCIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No. 2:23-cv-01482-CDS-EJY

## Plaintiff

5

## CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,

## Defendant

ALEXIS GIUFFRE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No. 2:23-cv-01483-APG-EJY

**Plaintiff**

V.

## CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,

## Defendant

DAVID LACKEY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Case No. 2:23-cv-01562-APG-EJY

**Plaintiff**

V

CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT INC

## Defendant

1 THOMAS McNICHOLAS and LAURA  
 2 McNICHOLAS, individually, and on  
 3 behalf of all others similarly situated,

4 Plaintiffs

5 v.

6 CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,

7 Defendant

8 Case No. 3:23-cv-00470-MMD-CSD

9 Plaintiff Miguel Rodriguez, in a purported class action, brings negligence  
 10 claims, an unjust enrichment claim, a breach of contract claim, and claims based  
 11 on various California statutes against Defendant Caesars Entertainment, Inc.  
 12 (ECF No. 1.) Each of these claims is based on injuries allegedly caused by a data  
 13 breach of information stored by Defendant that affected Plaintiff and members of  
 14 the purported class. (ECF No. 1.)

15 Each of the above-captioned cases are also purported class actions that  
 16 bring similar claims based on similar injuries allegedly caused by a data breach  
 17 of information stored by Defendant.

18 Local Rule 42-1(b) provides that “[u]nder Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a), if actions  
 19 before the court involve a common question of law or fact, the court may: (1) join  
 20 for hearing or trial any or all matters at issue in the actions; (2) consolidate the  
 21 actions; or (3) issue any other orders to avoid unnecessary cost or delay. The  
 22 court may make a determination to consolidate actions *sua sponte*.” Because the  
 23 five related actions involve similar questions of fact and law, and their assignment  
 24 to the same district and magistrate judge is likely to result in a substantial  
 25 savings of judicial effort, the Court hereby transfers Case No. 2:23-cv-01482-  
 26 CDS-EJY, Case No. 2:23-cv-01483-APG-EJY, Case No. 2:23-cv-01562-APG-EJY,  
 and Case No. 3:23-cv-00470-MMD-CSD to District Judge Anne R. Traum and  
 Magistrate Judge Brenda Weksler for all further proceedings.

27 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court transfer and reassign  
 28 Case No. 2:23-cv-01482-CDS-EJY, Case No. 2:23-cv-01483-APG-EJY, Case No.

1 2:23-cv-01562-APG-EJY, and Case No. 3:23-cv-00470-MMD-CSD to District  
2 Judge Anne R. Traum and Magistrate Judge Brenda Weksler for all further  
3 proceedings.

4

5

6 DATED THIS 4<sup>th</sup> day of October 2022.

7

8



10 ANNE R. TRAUM  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11

12



13 MIRANDA M. DU  
14 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15

16



17 ANDREW P. GORDON  
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

19

20



21 CRISTINA D. SILVA  
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

23

24

25

26

27

28